

## **Equalities Log**

Issue: Consultation on the consolidation of the Education (Independent Educational Provision in England)(Provision of Information) Regulations 2010 with amendments and consultation on amendments to the Non-Maintained Special Schools (England) Regulations 2015 and to Education (Independent School Standards) Regulations 2014

## Part 1: Will the outcome of this decision have a particular impact on any persons who share one or more protected characteristics

| The proposal is to consolidate with amendments the provisions in Education (Independent Educational Provision   | Yes –say which protected characteristics   |
|---|--|
| in England) (Provision of Information) Regulations 2010 ("the 2010 Regulations"). The consolidated Regulations are in many respects similar to those made in 2010, but contain additional requirements as to the information to be supplied by proprietors and prospective proprietors of independent | Religion or belief<br>There may be a particular impact on persons of certain races although the<br>schools concerned serve pupils from many races and it is not possible to be |
| schools; and in particular the additional requirements include<br>provisions relating to employment history and photographs,<br>together with dates of birth and National Insurance details.<br>Conclusion reached by: Stephen Bishop   | specific   |
| Date of conclusion: 19/02/2018  | Say which persons:   |
| Date conclusion reviewed : <i>dd/mm/yyyy</i>  | People holding religious beliefs Complete Part 2   |

## **Part 2:**

| Will there be a positive impact on people<br>with the protected characteristics<br>identified above?  | Will there be a be a<br>negative impact on<br>people with the<br>protected characteristics<br>identified above?  | Justification of conclusion of<br>analysis   | <b>Conclusion</b> (e.g.<br>impact is positive,<br>go ahead; negative<br>impact, go ahead if<br>justified; negative<br>impact, don't go<br>ahead.)  | Date when<br>equality<br>conclusion will be<br>reviewed (e.g., in<br>light of new<br>information;change<br>in circumstances;<br>at a policy review.) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Evidence  | Evidence   | Rationale  | Decision   | tbc  |
| The positive impact on those with<br>protected characteristics is derived from<br>the greater scrutiny and due diligence<br>measures on those who are applying to<br>register a school and on those<br>managing a school. Therefore schools<br>will be safer for all, including those<br>pupils with the protected characteristics. | Yes, in that due<br>diligence processes<br>tend to concentrate on<br>evidence of extremism<br>or narrow faith views<br>and currently the<br>perceived likelihood of<br>those occurring in the<br>independent school<br>sector is greatest for<br>small faith schools, and<br>proprietors of such<br>schools are likely to be<br>subject to greater<br>scrutiny than other<br>proprietors, in practice.<br>This is the negative<br>consequence of<br>ensuring greater safety<br>for pupils. | Every independent school must<br>have a registered proprietor or<br>chair of proprietor body, and the<br>independent school standards<br>regulations require that<br>suitability checks are carried<br>out by the Secretary of State. In<br>addition, when considering<br>applications for registration of a<br>new school the Secretary of<br>State must be satisfied that the<br>independent school standards<br>are likely to be met by the<br>school. This means that more<br>extensive due diligence checks<br>are being carried out on all<br>prospective and new<br>proprietors, and will include<br>open source searches - which<br>can be made more effectively<br>when more personal data is | The importance<br>of satisfactory<br>due diligence on<br>proprietors for<br>new independent<br>schools, and<br>independent<br>schools changing<br>proprietors, in<br>safeguarding all<br>pupils, justifies<br>the additional<br>information<br>requirements in<br>the revised<br>regulations,<br>which should go<br>ahead. |  |

| Will there be a positive impact on people<br>with the protected characteristics<br>identified above? | Will there be a be a<br>negative impact on<br>people with the<br>protected characteristics<br>identified above? | Justification of conclusion of<br>analysis  | <b>Conclusion</b> (e.g.<br>impact is positive,<br>go ahead; negative<br>impact, go ahead if<br>justified; negative<br>impact, don't go<br>ahead.) | Date when<br>equality<br>conclusion will be<br>reviewed (e.g., in<br>light of new<br>information;change<br>in circumstances;<br>at a policy review.) |
|--|---|---|---|--|
|  |   | available. There is likely to be a<br>higher proportion of individuals<br>with the protected<br>characteristics who are more<br>likely to be prevented from<br>being a proprietor. This is<br>analysis is based on the<br>experience from inspection and<br>regulation of independent<br>schools in recent years,<br>because a larger proportion of<br>such a category of persons hold<br>views thought to be<br>incompatible with the relevant<br>standards such as meeting the<br>standards relating to spirtual,<br>moral, social and cultural<br>development of pupils and<br>Quality of Education. However,<br>the number of such cases is<br>likely to be small in any given<br>year. |   |  |